# Solution of assignment 8, ST2304

### Problem 1

- 1. A poisson process on the interval between 0 and 3 years for each student. This assumes amongst others that
  - The rate at which articles are produced is constant during those 3 years,
  - The number of articles produced in disjoint subintervals are independent.
  - You can not produce (finish) two articles at the same time

### 2. Call:

```
glm(formula = art ~ fem + mar + kid5 + phd + ment, family = poisson)
```

### Deviance Residuals:

```
Min 1Q Median 3Q Max -3.5672 -1.5398 -0.3660 0.5722 5.4467
```

### Coefficients:

```
Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
                                   4.927 8.35e-07 ***
(Intercept)
             0.459860
                        0.093335
            -0.224594
femWomen
                        0.054613 -4.112 3.92e-05 ***
marSingle
            -0.155243
                        0.061374 -2.529
                                           0.0114 *
kid5
            -0.184883
                        0.040127
                                 -4.607 4.08e-06 ***
             0.012823
                        0.026397
                                  0.486
                                           0.6271
phd
             0.025543
                        0.002006 12.733 < 2e-16 ***
ment
```

---

(Dispersion parameter for poisson family taken to be 1)

```
Null deviance: 1817.4 on 914 degrees of freedom Residual deviance: 1634.4 on 909 degrees of freedom
```

AIC: 3314.1

# Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 5

In the summary table we see that the variable phd, the prestige of the PhD department, is not significant and can thus be removed. We can see the same from drop1().

3. Overdispersion implies that the variance is larger than expected; under the poisson distribution the variance is assumed to be equal to the mean. We test for overdispersion by testing the null hypothesis that there is no overdispersion against the alternative hypothesis that there is overdispersion. Under the null hypothesis, the residual deviance of the model has a chi-square distribution with n-p degrees of freedom. From the summary table we see that we have Residual deviance: 1634.4 on 909 degrees of freedom. We can find the probability to find this value or larger under the null hypothesis using pchisq(1634.6, df=910,lower.tail=F), which is 5.775682e-44. We thus reject  $H_0$ , and conclude that there is overdispersion.

We can also see this from the critical value, qchisq(.95,df=910), which is 981.29. The observed value (1634.4) is larger than the critical value, thus we reject  $H_0$ .

### 4. Call:

```
glm(formula = art ~ fem + mar + kid5 + phd + ment, family = quasipoisson)
```

# Deviance Residuals:

```
Min 1Q Median 3Q Max -3.5672 -1.5398 -0.3660 0.5722 5.4467
```

#### Coefficients:

```
Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
             0.459860
                        0.126227
                                   3.643 0.000285 ***
(Intercept)
femWomen
            -0.224594
                        0.073860
                                  -3.041 0.002427 **
marSingle
            -0.155243
                        0.083003
                                   -1.870 0.061759 .
kid5
            -0.184883
                        0.054268
                                   -3.407 0.000686 ***
             0.012823
                        0.035700
                                   0.359 0.719544
phd
             0.025543
                        0.002713
                                   9.415 < 2e-16 ***
ment
```

(Dispersion parameter for quasipoisson family taken to be 1.829006)

```
Null deviance: 1817.4 on 914 degrees of freedom Residual deviance: 1634.4 on 909 degrees of freedom
```

AIC: NA

### Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 5

The estimate of the scale parameter (called Dispersion parameter in the output in R) is 1.829006. Thus, the variance is 1.83 times the mean of the distribution.

- 5. When comparing the summary output from the model assuming no overdispersion (under 1.) and with overdissersion (4.) we see that the latter has larger standard errors of the parameter estimates  $\hat{\beta}$  (but the same estimates). The approximate standard errors reported in the summary are inflated by a factor equal to the square root of the scale parameter, that is,  $\sqrt{1.82}$ , just as the standard errors of the regression coefficients of a linear model increase linearly with the standard deviation  $\sigma$  of the residuals.
- 6. Using summary() and/or drop1(), we find that the variables phd (the prestige of the PhD department) and mar (marital status of the student) are not significant. The latter was significant in the model assuming no overdispersion.
  - It makes sense that fewer variables now have a significant effect; because the standard errors are larger (see 5.), the confidence intervals (estimate +- 1.96S.E.) are wider. Under the poisson model the confidence interval of mar did not include zero, but under the quassi-poisson model it does include zero. Under the poisson model we thus incorrectly rejected the null hypothesis  $H_0$  that the effect is equal to zero, and incorrectly concluded that there is an effect of marital status.
- 7. In general, we can get overdispersion if the assumptions of the poisson process (see 1.) are violated, so that the process is not truly a poisson process. For example,
  - The time spent on subsequent papers is often not independent, e.g. you may spend a lot of time on the first paper but less on a subsequent paper on the same topic, or you may write 2 papers based on the same experiment / field work.

ullet Not all differences between students are accounted for in the model; for example research topic and amount of lab work involved may influence the number of papers produced, or how hard students work. Thus there may be variation in  $\lambda$  between students not accounted for by the explanatory variables.